1	XAVIER BECERRA					
2	Attorney General of California MARY CAIN-SIMON	San Francisco County Sucerio				
	Supervising Deputy Attorney General	oco County Si				
3	CAROLYNE EVANS Deputy Attorney General					
4	State Bar No. 289206 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000	BY. CLERK OF THE COURT				
5	San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 Telephone: (415) 510-3448	Down				
6	Fax: (415) 703-5480	CAMP CAMP				
7	E-mail: Carolyne.Evans@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Petitioner and	f				
8	Real Party in Interest					
	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
9	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO					
10						
11	DEAN R. GRAFILO, DIRECTOR OF THE	CPF-19-516700				
12	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER	Case No. 29.310700				
13	AFFAIRS, STATE OF CALIFORNIA,	PETITION FOR ORDER TO SHOW				
	Plaintiff,	CAUSE AND ORDER COMPELLING COMPLIANCE WITH				
14	v.	INVESTIGATIONAL SUBPOENA				
15		Date:				
16	KAISER FOUNDATION HOSPITALS/THE PERMANENTE	Time: Dept: 302				
17	MEDICAL GROUP,	Judge: Hon. Ethan P. Schulman				
	Respondent,	Trial Date: Action Filed: June 12, 2019				
18	respondent,	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				
19	·	•				
20	KIMBERLY KIRCHMEYER, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, MEDICAL	•				
21	BOARD OF CALIFORNIA,					
22	Real Party in Interest.	•				
23	·					
24	·					
	Petitioner Dean R. Grafilo, Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs, State of					
25	California (DCA), by his attorneys Xavier Becerra, Attorney General of the State of California,					
26	and Carolyne Evans, Deputy Attorney General, alleges as follows:					
27						
28	•					

- 1. Petitioner Dean R. Grafilo (Petitioner) is the duly appointed Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs. He brings this action solely in his official capacity as Director.
- 2. The Department of Consumer Affairs of the State of California is a department within the meaning of Government Code §§ 11180 et seq. Under Government Code §§ 11181 and 11182, the Director of the DCA is the head of the Department and has the authority to conduct investigations, issue subpoenas, and take testimony in connection with matters within the jurisdiction of the Department. The Director also has the power to delegate such authority.
- 3. Real Party in Interest Kimberly Kirchmeyer is the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California (Medical Board or Board) which is a duly constituted government agency within the DCA. The Medical Board is charged with the enforcement of the Medical Practice Act (Business and Professions Code §§ 2000 et seq.) and with investigating complaints from consumers, from other licensees, from healthcare facilities, or from the Board itself, that a physician may be guilty of unprofessional conduct. (Business and Professions Code § 2220(a).) This proceeding directly affects the interests of the Medical Board because the petition seeks to enforce compliance with an investigational subpoena issued during an ongoing Medical Board investigation of possible violations of the Medical Practice Act by its licensee Mary Kelly Sutton, M.D. (Respondent)
- 4. The Director of the DCA has delegated to officers of the Medical Board, which is an agency within the DCA, the authority to issue subpoenas under Government Code § 11182.
- 5. Michael Fielding Allen, M.D. holds a Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate issued by the Medical Board, which permits him to engage in the practice of medicine and to issue exemptions from mandatory vaccinations to qualifying patients.
- 6. By way of this petition, the Medical Board requests an order compelling Kaiser Foundation Hospitals (KFH) to comply with an investigational subpoena for unreducted vaccination exemptions and related information in their possession. As set forth in the Declaration of Investigator Monica Peretto, filed herewith, KHF was duly served with the investigational subpoena, but has advised that it will only comply with the subpoena pursuant to a court order. As set forth in Ms. Peretto's declaration and the Declaration of James Nuovo, M.D.,

the records sought are relevant and necessary to the investigation of vaccination exemptions issued by Mary Kelly Sutton, M.D.

- 7. On February 23, 2017, the Board received a complaint from the Assistant Chief of Pediatrics at Kaiser, Roseville, stating that a Kaiser patient had been given an inappropriate vaccine exemption letter by Dr. Sutton. On March 28, 2017, the complainant sent a copy of an exemption letter issued by Dr. Sutton. The exemption stated that the (name redacted) child was a patient under her care and that the child's physical condition and medical circumstances were such that the child was "to have a lifelong medical exemption from all vaccines." Subsequently, the complainant provided nearly identical additional vaccine exemptions issued by Dr. Sutton to other school- aged children, including letters dated April 5, 2017, August 14, 2018, and October 12, 2018.
- 8. On February 15, 2019, an investigator for the Medical Board served a subpoena for unreducted vaccination exemptions and related information in the possession of Kaiser Foundation Hospitals.
- 9. On April 4, 2019, counsel for The Permanente Medical Group (TPMG) responded that the requested documents and information would be provided if ordered to do so by a court "and our client is willing to stipulate to the issuance of such an order." On May 16, 2019, counsel advised that the exemptions are in the possession of TPMG and any order for production should be directed to TPMG.
- 10. In support of this Petition for Order to Show Cause and Order Compelling
 Compliance with Investigational Subpoena, Petitioner offers the Declaration of James Nuovo,
 M.D. He is a board-certified physician in the field of Family Medicine. Dr. Nuovo has reviewed
 the information obtained by the Board's investigation and has opined that the exemptions appear
 to have been issued without a history and evaluation and that the exemptions do not demonstrate
 a valid medical indication.
- 11. Pursuant to Government Code § 11186, venue lies in the County of San Francisco, where the investigation was directed by the Office of the Attorney General, and pursuant to

§11188 this Court has the authority to issue an Order to Show Cause why TPMG should not be ordered to comply with the investigational subpoenas served upon them.

12. On June 10, 2019, at 3:02 p.m., counsel for TPMG was given notice of the ex parte application for an Order to Show Cause.

WHEREFORE, pursuant to Government Code §§ 11186-88, Petitioner respectfully requests that this Court issue an order requiring TPMG to appear before this Court and to show cause why it has failed to comply with the investigational subpoena served on it and, upon failure to show cause, to enter an order:

- 1. Requiring TPMG to produce the records sought by the investigational subpoena to Investigator Monica Peretto within 15 days of the hearing on this petition; and,
 - 2. Taking such further action as the Court deems appropriate in the interests of justice.

Dated: June 11, 2019

Respectfully Submitted,

XAVIER BECERRA
Attorney General of California
MARY CAIN-SIMON
Supervising Deputy Attorney General

CAROLYNE EVANS
Deputy Attorney General
Attorneys for Petitioner and
Real Party in Interest

	_	\J		CM-010		
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar I Carolyne Evans, Deputy Attorney General (number, and address): (SBN #289206)		FOR COUR			
Office of the Attorney General			Shellen.	OUNTY SIDERIO		
455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102			· ° č			
TELEPHONE NO.: (415) 510-3488	FAX NO.: (415) 703-5480		OLERKOE THIS	AND CO		
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Petitioner and Real Pa	arty in Interest	8)	L CED.	o Uperio I		
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF			The Day	2010 Or Co.		
STREET ADDRESS: 400 McAllister			XXXXX	1. JA 1/4		
MAILING ADDRESS:	4.00		THE WAY	COTA		
CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Francisco, CA 94102				The Control of the Co		
BRANCH NAME: CASE NAME:			~9/	The state of the s		
Dean R. Grafilo, petitioner vs. Kasie	,		- Neg			
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET			SE NUMBER:			
Unlimited Limited	Complex Case Designation	0,	ioe nomber.			
(Amount (Amount	Counter Joinder	<u> </u>				
demanded demanded is	Filed with first appearance by defer		DGE: Ethan Sch	ulman		
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402		DEP: 139-51	6700		
	ow must be completed (see instructions	on page	2).	0/[[]		
1. Check one box below for the case type that						
Auto Tort	Contract Breach of contract/warranty (06)		nally Complex Civiles of Court, rules 3.			
Auto (22) Uninsured motorist (46)	Rule 3.740 collections (09)		•	, i		
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property	Other collections (09)		titrust/Trade regulation nstruction defect (10)	` ' '		
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Insurance coverage (18)		ss tort (40)			
Asbestos (04)	Other contract (37)		curities litigation (28)			
Product liability (24)	Real Property		vironmental/Toxic tor	t (30)		
Medical malpractice (45)	Eminent domain/Inverse		urance coverage clai	` '		
Other PI/PD/WD (23)	condemnation (14)	abo	ove listed provisional	y complex case		
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort	Wrongful eviction (33)		es (41)			
Business tort/unfair business practice (07)			nent of Judgment			
Civil rights (08)	Unlawful Detainer		forcement of judgmer	` '		
Defamation (13) Fraud (16)	Commercial (31) Residential (32)		eous Civil Complai	nt .		
Intellectual property (19)	Drugs (38)		CO (27)			
Professional negligence (25)	Judicial Review		ner complaint (not spe	ecified above) (42)		
Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	Asset forfeiture (05)		eous Civil Petition			
Employment	Petition re: arbitration award (11)		tnership and corpora	, ,		
Wrongful termination (36)	Writ of mandate (02)	U Our	ner petition (not speci	ried above) (43)		
Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)		•			
2. This case ☐ is ☐ is not comp	olex under rule 3.400 of the California R	ules of Co	ourt. If the case is o	complex, mark the		
factors requiring exceptional judicial manag						
a. Large number of separately repres						
b Extensive motion practice raising o				in one or more courts		
issues that will be time-consuming c. Substantial amount of documentar			es, or countries, or			
c. Cobstantial amount of documentar	y evidence f. L Substantial p	ostjuagm	ent judicial supervi	sion		
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a.[monetary b. 🗸 nonmonetary;	declarato	ry or injunctive relie	ef c. punitive		
4. Number of causes of action (specify):			•			
	s action suit.					
6. If there are any known related cases, file ar	nd serve a notice of related case. (You	may use t	form CM-015.)	•		
Date: June 11, 2019	. /	0 2		. /		
Carolyne Evans, Deputy Attorney Gene	eral (_aol	ne Guan	<u></u>		
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME) (SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)						
• Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the first paper filed in the action or proceeding (except small claims cases or cases filed						
under the Probate Code, Family Code, or Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result						
in sanctions. • File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court rule.						
If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et s	seq. of the California Rules of Court. vo	u must se	rve a copy of this o	over sheet on all		
other parties to the action or proceeding. • Unless this is a collections case under rule 3.740 or a complex case, this cover sheet will be used for statistical purposes only						
 Unless this is a collections case under rule. 	3.740 or a complex case, this cover shi	oot will ha	used for statistica	nurnoege only		